

REMARKS

The Examiner's consideration and reconsideration of this application is sincerely appreciated.

The Office Action indicates rejection of claims 1-20 under Section 101, statutory same invention double patenting. However, the preliminary amendment filed with the application canceled claims 1-22. Thus the rejection of claims 1-20 should be withdrawn as directed to already cancelled claims. Also the rejection of claims 21 and 22 is inappropriate for the same reason.

Enclosed herewith is a terminal disclaimer addressing the obviousness double patenting rejection made against claims 23-60. Since no other rejection was indicated against claims 23-60 then these claims are now believed to be in full condition for allowance.


New claims 61-78 have been added and are believed to be in full condition for allowance. They recite casino game systems and methods which include virtual cards assigned to the participants and at least one winning slot symbol which also may be assigned to a participant thus allowing either a winning card hand and a slot symbol jackpot award.

With these actions it is believed that this case is now in full condition for allowance and favorable action thereon is respectfully requested.

Respectfully Submitted,

Date:

April 3, 2006


Randy A. Gregory, Reg. No. 30,386